

**Ohio State University Eastern Agriculture Research Station  
(EARS)  
Belle Valley, Ohio  
Ewe Lamb Confinement vs Pasture Finishing  
& Wether Lamb Feed Trial**

Clif Little, Associate Professor/Extension Educator, Guernsey/Noble Counties  
Wayne Shriver, Manager, EARS & Staff

**Introduction**

In this project we evaluated lamb rate of gain and cost per pound of gain utilizing a grower/finisher ration. One of this ration was evaluated for finishing ewe lambs, barn raised and outside on open pasture.

Females were randomly assigned to feed groups in pens of five. The feed utilized was our own blended ration (343), (feed tags attached). The feed was given four replicates inside and on pasture. Cost and performance was measured.

**Methods**

Lambs were randomly assigned to feed and treatment groups. Start weights were sorted and evened across pens of five. Start weights were evaluated and not found to be significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ). Pen and feed assignments were randomized. All lambs were born in May, weaned in August, vaccinated for C,D and T, and de-wormed prior to the start of the trial. All lambs originate from the Eastern Agriculture Research Station (EARS) flock at the Belle Valley Research Station and are of Dorset base. Lambs finished inside, were in an open-fronted barn and were placed on a manure pack with approximately 30 square feet per lamb. Free choice hay and concentrate were provided via a gravity flow feeder. All lambs were provided with free choice water and trace minerals. Lambs finished outside were randomly assigned to paddocks of one-third acre fescue. Starting pasture mass was approximately 1000 pounds dry matter (infected fescue grass) per paddock with access to water and trace-mineralized salt. Concentrate rations were evaluated utilizing gravity flow feeders and provided free choice. No shelter was provided to pasture finished lambs other than tree shade.

Lambs were started on test September 29th and taken off test December 22<sup>nd</sup>. Both start and end weights were recorded. Average start weight for all lambs was 66 pounds.

**Results**

Statistical analysis utilized Anova: single factor, Alpha=.05

**Hypothesis:**

There is no significant difference in daily rate of gain for females fed ration 343 when comparing pasture and barn finishing.

**Null Hypothesis:**

There is a significant difference in daily rate of gain for females fed ration 343 when comparing pasture and barn finishing.

**We accept the hypothesis that:** There is no significant difference in daily rate of gain for females fed ration 343 when comparing pasture and barn finishing, ( $P < 0.05$ ).

**Discussion**

Ewe lamb performance at this location and for these lambs was not significantly different when comparing feed efficiency or rate of gain for ration 343 (Table 2), ( $P < 0.05$ ). It should be noted however, the amount of feed wastage that can occur outside has been influenced in previous trials by feed type, weather conditions and wildlife interactions. Rations containing high concentrations of soybean hulls and/or corn have exhibited significant wastage when provided free choice, outside, in gravity flow type feeders. However, for this trial this was not an issue. Ewe lambs finishing inside had an average finish weight of 105 pounds and outside finished lambs had an average finish weight of 109 pounds.

Feed cost calculations are based on the amount of concentrate fed in and 84 day finishing phase and does not account for labor, hauling or pasture. These results demonstrate it is possible to economically finish lambs without the use of a building.

**Table 1 - Average Feed Consumption per lb. of gain**

Feed 343		Females
Average pounds of feed per pound of gain	outside	5.12
Average pounds of feed per pound of gain	inside	5.21

**Table 2 - Average rate of gain per day inside and outside**

Females

outside	inside
.52 lb/day	.48 lb/day

---

**Table3 – Average concentrate feed cost per pound of gain**

	Females	
	outside	inside
	\$.59	\$.60

For OSU feed 343 at \$231/ton, cost calculations do not include labor, hauling/handling, etc.

---

### **Wether Lamb Feed Comparison**

Wether lambs were finished during the same time period as the ewe lambs. These lambs were of the same genetic makeup as the ewe lambs and produced on the Belle Valley, Ohio State University, EARS station. Vaccinations, weaning, start-time, start-weights were as described for the ewe lambs. Pen and feed assignments were randomized. All lambs were born in May, weaned in August, vaccinated for C,D and T, and de-wormed prior to the start of the trial. However, these lambs were all finished inside on a manure pack with approximately 30 square feet per lamb. No comparisons were made to an outside finishing system. Feed manufacture's requested evaluation of four lamb rations, tags and prices provided below (note: prices are subject to change). No comparisons were made between feeds as each was different. Four replicates of each feed were evaluated with lambs randomly assigned to treatment groups and pens. Start weights were evaluated and not found to be significantly different (appx. 66 lbs). Free choice hay and concentrate were provided via a gravity flow feeder. All lambs were provided with free choice water and trace minerals. Performance data below is for wether lambs starting at the same time and grown for 101 days finishing in January 2010. Average finish weight (107 lbs). Feeds were evaluated for conversion of feed per pound of gain and cost per pound of gain utilizing the cost for these commercially available feeds at the start of the trial. Cost figures represent only the feed. One wether lamb died of urinary calculi.

**Table 4 – Average wether lamb performance & cost (101 day finishing phase)**

---

<b>Feed Type</b>	Average Daily Gain	Feed per pound of Gain	Cost per pound of Gain
Gerber 1 (medicated)	.42	7.19	\$.93
Gerber 2 (unmedicated)	.34	7.88	\$1.01
Green Valley 1 (medicated)	.46	5.95	\$.89
Green Valley 2 (medicated)	.51	5.34	\$.74

---

<b>14% Lamb Gr-Commodity Medicated (Green Valley-2) \$277/Ton</b>	
Crude Protein Not more than .55% non-protein nitrogen	14%
Crude Fat	Min 4.75%
Crude Fiber	Max 12%
Calcium min/max	.75- 1.25%
Phosphorus min	0.30%
Salt min/max	.25-.75%
Selenium	.30 ppm
Vitamin A	2800 IU/#
Active Drug Ingredient Lasaiocid	35 g/ton
<b>Ingredients</b>	
Processed Grain By-Products,, Forage Products, Grain Products, Molasses Products, Dried Baker Products, Plant Protein Products, Animal Protein Products. Urea, Ammonium Sulfate, Potassium Chloride, Calcium Carbonate, Salt, Calcium Phosphate, Magnesium Mica, Zinc Sulfate, Zinc Oxide, Magnesium Sulfate, Manganous Oxide, Cobalt Carbonate, Calcium Lignin Sulfonate, Potassium Iodide,, Ethylenediamine Dihydroiodide, , Vitamin A Supplement, Vitamin D <sub>3</sub> Supplement, Mineral Oil.	

<b>14% Lamb Gr.-WSC Medicated (Green Valley-1) \$299/Ton</b>	
Crude Protein Not more than 1.85% non-protein nitrogen	14%
Crude Fat	Min 3%
Crude Fiber	Max 7%
Calcium min/max	.75- 1.25%
Phosphorus min	0.30%
Salt	.5-1%
Selenium	.30 ppm
Vitamin A	3,400 IU/#
Active Drug Ingredient Lasaiocid	35 g/ton
<b>Ingredients</b>	
Processed Grain By-Products, Forage Products, Grain Products, Molasses Products, , Dried Baker Products, Plant Protein Products, Animal Protein Products. Urea, Ammonium Sulfate, , Potassium Chloride, Calcium Carbonate, Salt, Calcium Phosphate, Magnesium Mica, Zinc Sulfate, Zinc Oxide, , Magnesium Sulfate, Manganous Oxide, Cobalt Carbonate, Calcium Lignin Sulfonate, Potassium Iodide,, Ethylenediamine Dihydroiodide, , Vitamin A Supplement, Vitamin D <sub>3</sub> Supplement, Mineral Oil.	

<b>(Gerber 1) Pellet</b>	
<b>14% Lamb Grower w/Bovatec – \$258.20/Ton</b>	
Active Drug - Lasalocid	27.3 g/ton
Crude Protein	14.10%
Crude Fat	3.90%
Crude Fiber	12.50%
Calcium	.40-.90%
Phosphorous	0.48%
Salt	.40-.90%
Selenium	.8 ppm
Vitamin A	6,000 IU/#
<b>Ingredients</b>	
Processed Grain By-Products, Fine Ground Shelled Corn bulk, Roughage Products, Forage Products, Molasses Products, Plant Protein Products, Non-Ruminant Animal Protein Products, Calcium Carbonate, Lignin Sulfonate, Blended Poultry & Vegetable Fat Preserved with BHA, Salkt, Ammonium Chloride, Brewers Grains Yeast, Zinc Sulfate, Manganous Oxide, Ferrous Sulfate, Vitamin A Supplement, Vitamin D <sub>3</sub> Supplement, Vitamin E Supplement, Vitamin B <sub>12</sub> Supplement, Mendodione Sodium Bisulfite Complex, Riboflavin Supplement, d-Calcium Pantothenate, Niacin Supplement, Sodium Selenite, Mineral Oil, Ethylenediamine Dihydroiodide, Cobalt Carbonate, Propionic Acid, Ammonium Hydroxide, Sorbic Acid, Benzoic Acid, Phosphoric Acid, Propylparaben, Methylparaben, Butylated hydroxyanisole.	

<b>(Gerber 2) Pellet</b>	
<b>14% Lamb Grower unmedicated – \$256/Ton</b>	
Crude Protein	14%
Crude Fat	3.70%
Crude Fiber	12%
Calcium	.45-.95%
Phosphorous	0.52%
Salt	.45-.95%
Selenium	.7 ppm
Vitamin A	5,000 IU/#
<b>Ingredients</b>	
Processed Grain By-Products, Fine Ground Sh Corn bulk, Roughage Products, Molasses Products, Plant Protein Products, Forage Products, Calcium Carbonate, Salt, Ammonium Chloride, Blended Poultry & Vegetable Fat Preserved with BHA, Lignin Sulphate, Zinc Sulfate, Manganous Oxide, Ferrous Sulfate, Vitamin A Supplement, Vitamin D <sub>3</sub> Supplement, Vitamin E Supplement, Vitamin B <sub>12</sub> Supplement, Menadione Sodium Bisulfite Complex, Riboflavin Supplement, d-Calcium Pantothenate, Niacin Supplement, Sodium Selenite, Mineral Oil, Ethylenediamine Dihydroiodide, Cobalt Carbonate, Propionic Acid, Ammonium Hydroxide, Sorbic Acid, Benzoic Acid, Phosphoric Acid, Propylparaben, Methylparaben, Butylated hydroxyanisole.	

**(OSU 343)**  
**w/Bovatec 91**  
**\$231/Ton**

**Recipe Percent**

Active Drug -	.015%
Soybran Flakes	30.25%
Corn , ground, rolled	21.57%
Distillers dried grains	45.38%
Limestone feed grade	1.50%
Salt, trace mineralized	.521%
Ammonium chloride	.521%
Vitamin A-30 (30,000 units per gm)	.01%
Vitamin D3-3000 units per gm	.01%
Vitamin E-20,000 units per lb	.052%
Selenium, 90.8 mg/lb.	.015%



FORAGE TESTING LABORATORY  
 DAIRY ONE, INC.  
 730 WARREN ROAD  
 ITHACA, NEW YORK 14850  
 607-257-1272 (Fax 607-257-1350)

| Sampled | Recvd | Printed | ST/CO |  
 | 100309 | 100309 | 100309 | 1003 |

CLIFLITTLE  
 (IND) HUNTINGTON GUMMERY COY  
 PO BOX 300  
 1111 HUNTINGTON, IN 47748

ENERGY TABLE - NRC 2001

	Meal/Lb	Meal/Kg
DE, 1X	1.14	2.52
NE, 1X	0.95	2.10
NEL, 3X	0.53	1.17
NEM, 3X	0.55	1.22
NEG, 3X	0.30	0.67
SUNL, 4	59	

| Sample Description | Form | Code | Sample |  
 | DAIRY GRASS HAY | | 1803 | 13275700 |

Analysis Results

Component	As Fed	DM
% Moisture	7.0	
% Dry Matter	93.0	
% Crude Protein	4.6	5.0
% Available Protein	4.1	4.4
% ADFCF	5	6
% Adjusted Crude Protein	4.6	5.0
Soluble Protein % CP		28
Degradable Protein % CP		72
% NDFCF	1.4	1.5
% Acid Detergent Fiber	39.5	42.5
% Neutral Detergent Fiber	59.8	64.3
% Lignin	5.3	5.7
% NFC	23.7	25.5
% Starch	2.2	2.3
% WSC (Water Sol. Carbs)	14.7	15.8
% ESC (Simple Sugars)	6.7	7.2
% Crude Fat	1.9	2.0
% Ash	4.45	4.79
% CPN	55	59
NEL, Mcal/Lb	47	50
NEM, Mcal/Lb	49	52
NEG, Mcal/Lb	23	27
Relative Feed Value		81
% Calcium	21	33
% Phosphorus	12	13
% Magnesium	11	12
% Potassium	1.07	1.15
% Sulfur	0.8	.89
% Chloride Ion	40	43
% Lysine	18	19
% Methionine	0.6	.67
Course DE, Mcal/Lb	51	58